Consensus Statement: Defining Minimal Criteria for Reporting the Systemic Inflammatory Response to Cardiopulmonary Bypass

R. Clive Landis,¹ Joseph E. Arrowsmith,² Robert A. Baker,³ Filip de Somer,⁴ Wojciech B. Dobkowski,⁵ Gregory Fisher,⁶ Richard A. Jonas,⁷ Donald S. Likosky,⁸ John M. Murkin,⁵ Michael Poullis,⁹ David A. Stump,¹⁰ Edward D. Verrier¹¹

¹Edmund Cohen Laboratory for Vascular Research, Chronic Disease Research Centre, University of the West Indies, Barbados; ²Cardiothoracic Surgery Department, Papworth Hospital, Cambridge, UK; ³Cardiac Surgery Research and Perfusion, Flinders University and Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; ⁴Heart Center, University Hospital Gent, Gent, Belgium; ⁵Department of Anesthesia & Perioperative Medicine, London Health Sciences Centre-University Campus, London, Ontario, Canada; ⁶Anesthesiology & Cardiothoracic Surgery, Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁷Children's National Heart Institute, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA; ⁸The Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice, Dartmouth College, Lebanon, NH, USA, International Consortium for Evidence-Based Perfusion, Chair; ⁹The Cardiothoracic Centre, Liverpool, UK; ¹⁰Department of Anesthesiology and CT Surgery Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Medical Center Blvd., Winston-Salem, NC, USA; ¹¹Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

ABSTRACT

The causal factors of the systemic inflammatory response to cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) were correctly identified in the early 1990s: "... activation of complement, coagulation, fibrinolytic, and kallikrein cascades, activation of neutrophils with degranulation and protease enzyme release, oxygen radical production, and the synthesis of various cytokines from mononuclear cells" [Butler 1993]. Why therefore have clinical advances to curb the systemic inflammatory response proven such a disappointment? Part of the problem is that cardiac surgery has never taken intellectual ownership of this issue, borrowing its diagnosis from critical care medicine and failing to define the minimal criteria that should be measured when reporting on the systemic inflammatory response. An evidence based review of the current literature by many of the coauthors on this paper found that the majority of studies on the systemic inflammatory response did not measure a single one of the causal factors listed above - thus hindering our ability to identify mechanisms of causation and identify drug targets [Landis 2008]. A panel of experts convened at the Outcomes XII meeting, Barbados 2008, drafted the present consensus document in order to provide a framework to guide future studies and interdictions of the systemic inflammatory response. Herein, we have recommended: 1) mandatory reporting of minimal CPB and perfusion criteria that may affect outcomes, 2) reporting of a minimal set of causal inflammatory markers linked to adverse sequelae, and 3) reporting of at least one clinical end-point of organ injury, from a list of endpoints and markers of organ injury that balance practicality with clinical meaningfulness. It is our collective belief that this document will serve as a foundation for furthering our understanding of the influence of CPB practice with the systemic inflammatory response by standardizing the reporting of research findings in the peer-reviewed literature.

Correspondence: Dr. R. Clive Landis, Edmund Coben Laboratory for Vascular Research, Chronic Disease Research Centre, University of the West Indies, Barbados BB11115; 1-246-4266416; fax: 1-246-4268406 (e-mail: clandis@uwichill.edu.bb).

Definition of the Systemic inflammatory Response

The systemic inflammatory response is broadly defined as an inflammatory state of the whole body without a proven source of infection. The criteria agreed upon in 1992 by The American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Conference to diagnose the Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) in adults are as follows [Bone 1992]:

"SIRS is diagnosed when two or more of the following are present:

- Fever of more than 38°C or temperature less than 36°C
- Heart rate of more than 90 beats per minute (not appropriate in children)
- Respiratory rate of more than 20 breaths per minute or a PaCO2 level of less than 32 mm Hg (4.3kPa)
- Abnormal white blood cell count <4 x 109 cells/L or > 12 x 109 cells/L or >10% bands)"

A clear distinction needs to be drawn between markers used to aid the diagnosis of SIRS, and markers responsible for causing SIRS. There are few studies in the cardiothoracic literature that have had the statistical power to use SIRS, as defined above, as a hard endpoint. However, critical care criteria are often inappropriately utilized as continuous variables in cardiothoracic surgery reports. For instance, leukocyte count as a continuous variable is commonly presented as a marker of the systemic inflammatory response, despite its more appropriate use as a binary cut-off for diagnostic purposes. In our opinion, the activation state of white cells, or release of destructive cytokines and proteases from white cells, is likely to be much more instructive with respect to causation of the systemic inflammatory response and end-organ injury [Weiss 1989; Clark 1991; Eppinger 1995; Anttila 2006]. The present consensus statement is therefore aimed at defining criteria that should be included in studies about the systemic inflammatory response: what are the minimal set of CPB and perfusion details to be included in a research report? Which markers are causally involved in the systemic inflammatory response and therefore clinically relevant? And in the final part of the guidelines, can we identify "Goldilocks" endpoints of organ injury (not too hard, not too soft: in other words, markers that are clinically meaningful but practical to measure)?

Mandatory Description of CPB Equipment and Perfusion Techniques Used

Two different but concurrent mechanisms are critical in initiation of SIRS during CPB. The first is blood and its component activation due to contact with the foreign surface of the bypass machine, then causing a secondary systemic host response and ischaemia reperfusion injury due to inadequate tissue perfusion during CPB. Many fixed and variable factors of CPB and perfusion technique may influence inflammatory outcomes.

Fixed components, such as open versus closed venous reservoirs, use of active suction, arterial line filters, and type and coating of the oxygenator and tubing may affect the extent of blood component activation and embolic load [Brown 2000; Jones 2002b, 2002a; Allen 2005; De Somer 2002]. We recommend mandatory description of CPB coating, the type of circuit used (closed, open venous reservoir, mini system), the type of tubing and oxygenator used, type of arterial line filter and prebypass filter type and size, and the type of pump used (roller, centrifugal, use of venous suction, and use of pulsatile flow).

Many foundational characteristics of CPB, while often linked to adverse sequelae and especially to the underlying mechanisms of SIRS, are rarely noted in research reports dealing with the inflammatory response, including: Blood pressure, flow, temperature, glucose levels, oxygen delivery, extent of hemodilution, and duration of CPB [Schwartz 1995; Stensrud 1999; Ranucci 2006, 2005; Haugen 2007; Brown 2000; Habib 005].

In addition to systemic factors, physical manipulation of grafts and the aorta may impact on local generation of coagulation factors and emboli. Procurement related endothelial injury to grafts and excessive clamping force used during aortic cross clamping may each have deleterious effects on clinical outcomes [Poston 2006; Burris 2008; Hammon 2006]. The panel therefore recommends mandatory reporting of the type and number of grafts performed and how they were handled during procurement (open/closed harvest, whether flushed and with what, or distended, or "pipe cleaned"). The type and number of cross clamps should also be specified.

The use or non use of cell salvage for handling pleuro-pericardial blood has an influence on systemic activation of coagulation factors and cells/platelets in blood [Allen 2007; Aldea 2002; Shann 2006]. The increased awareness of the dangers of blood transfusion has resulted in a dramatic reduction in the use of packed red cells, fresh frozen plasma, platelets and cryoprecipitate in cardiac surgery. All these products are associated with the risk of inflammatory response [Spiess 2004; Furnary 2007; Banbury 2006]. Patients receiving these products may introduce bias into the study and their use needs to be specified, and dealt with in the methods (modifying study entry criteria) or analysis (stratification of results, or adjustment).

Finally, the type of anesthesia and use of drugs pre- and perioperatively should be defined, since these may materially affect the systemic inflammatory response [De Hert 2005; Kincaid 2005; Radaelli 2007; Goudeau 2007; Levy 2008].

Table 1 summarizes the minimal CPB and perfusion criteria that should be reported.

What markers should be monitored when researching the systemic inflammatory response?

A major weakness of current research is that markers relevant

Table 1. Mandatory Description of CPB Equipment and Perfusion Techniques Used

CPB Equipment

CFB Equip	inent		
	*type of circuit (closed, open venous reservoir, mini system)		
	tubing (type and coating)		
	active/passive venous suction		
	pump type (roller or centrifugal, brand and model)		
	flow type (pulsatile, non-pulsatile)		
	oxygenator (type and coating)		
	arterial filter and pore size		
	pre CPB filter and pore size		
Protocols And Techniques			
	duration of CPB		
	priming volume		
	type + amount of cardioplegia (colloids, crystalloids, etc.)		
	type of anesthesia (volatile vs. venous)		
	temperature management (where measured, active/passive		
	cooling/heating and separation temperatures)		
	pH management		
	target flow and pressures (lowest and average pressure during CPB)		
	heparinization protocol		
	lowest DO2 during CPB and average DO2		
Surgical Te	chnique		
	graft (type, number, open/closed harvest)		
	graft handling (how flushed, distended, pipe cleaned?)		
	cannulation site		
	aortic management (x-clamp, side biting clamp, epi-aortic scanning)		
Blood Management			
	cardiotomy suction protocol		
	use of cell saver (processing of residual blood, volume, timing)		
	transfusion (PRBC, FFP, platelets, cryoprecipitate)		
Drug Use			
	use of antifibrinolytics or other hemostatic drugs. At what concentration?		
	was ACEI used + % of patients on ACEI before operation?		
	% of patients on platelet inhibition pre-op? Which inhibitor?		

*Report all details of equipment, protocols and techniques specified on the list, since these can affect outcomes (the systemic inflammatory response). Fixed hardware (like type of oxygenator employed) can be listed in Methods. Variables (such as transfusion requirement) should be summarised in the text or tables.

to the systemic "inflammatory" response to CPB have not been defined. The literature is replete with reports that claim to study the systemic "inflammatory" response, yet fail to measure a single marker of inflammation and monitor only "convenience metrics" (variables easily identified through administrative or billing records), such as length of hospital stay. Inferences drawn from such reports may lead to faulty assumption that have nothing to do with the inflammatory response, since neither causal markers nor clinically meaningful end-points were considered.

CAUSAL MARKERS

Major Criteria

Typically, classical inflammatory cytokines and chemokines have been monitored, like IL-1 and IL-8, along with acute phase proteins like hs-CRP, IL-6, and TNF α [McBride 1995; Verrier 2004; Rinder 1999, 2007]. The present recommendation suggests broadening the list to include other cytodestructive and vasoactive mediators released from activated white cells and complement pathways:

- Classical cytokines (e.g. IL-1, etc.)
- Chemokines (e.g. IL-8, MCP-1, etc.)
- Acute phase proteins (e.g. hs-CRP, IL-6, TNFα, etc.)
- Regulatory cytokines (e.g. IL-10, IL-12)
- Complement factors (e.g. C4a, C3a, C5a, C5b9 complex)
- Leukotrienes (e.g. LTB4, PAF, etc.)
- Proteases (e.g. elastase, myeloperoxidase, cathepsin G, MMPs)

A number of factors from the coagulation cascade and their secondary activation products cross over into the inflammatory response and should be included [Kamiya 1993; Wachtfogel 1993; Kaplanski 1998; Lidington 2000; Wojciak-Stothard 2001]:

- Intrinsic coagulation cascade (e.g. Kallikrein, Thrombin [F1.2 and TAT])
- Activation products of intrinsic coagulation (e.g. kinins)
- Extrinsic coagulation cascade (e.g. tissue factor)
- Regulatory factors (e.g. Activated protein C, protein C inhibitor)

Markers of leukocyte activation and study of extravascular migrating leukocytes will be important to include as causal markers of the systemic host response [Seekamp 1993; Diego 1997; Hill 1996; Evans 2008]:

- extravasated leukocyte populations (e.g. bronchoalveolar lavage cells)
- activation markers (e.g. CD11b, CD18, L-selectin shedding)

In the absence of overwhelming sepsis the usefulness of monitoring leukopenia as a marker of the inflammatory response is questionable. Unless leukocytes are activated, disgorge their products, or accumulate extravascularly, it is not likely that systemically elevated leukocyte numbers are clinically meaningful. Racial variation in leukocyte endothelial margination exists further decreasing specificity. The consensus of the panel at Outcomes XII was that leukocyte count should NOT be used as a marker of the systemic inflammatory response.

With respect to brain injury, the panel cautioned that popular markers, such as S100B, tau and enolase, had limited value as markers of brain injury but no value as causal inflammatory markers. In order to evaluate alterations in surgical techniques or pharmaceutical interventions with anti-inflammatory potential, the following causal markers of brain injury were recommended for study [Taylor 1998; Kamiya 1993; Stump 2007; Murkin 2007]:

- microparticles (gaseous and particulate emboli)
- edema (brain & retinal edema)

In addition to the major criteria listed above it is also reasonable to measure causal inflammatory markers from the list of minor criteria below:

CAUSAL MARKERS

Minor Criteria

Reactive oxygen species may contribute to the systemic inflammatory response [Weiss 1989; Shappell 1990; Entman 1992; Rothlein 1994]. These species are short-lived and would usually be measured indirectly by their oxidation adducts.

- systemic adducts (e.g. MDA, TBARs)
- urinary adducts (F2-isoprostanes)
- flow cytometric evaluation of ROS (e.g. fluoresceine diacetate)

Hemolysis contributes to oxidant stress, endothelial dysfunction and is a causal factor in systemic hypertension, pulmonary hypertension and renal injury in other hemolytic conditions (e.g. sickle cell). Intravascular hemolysis, due to shearing of the erythrocytes in the CPB circuit, is also commonly associated with CPB [Tanaka 1991; Davis 1999; Christen 2005]. It is therefore reasonable to measure markers of intravascular hemolysis in studying the systemic inflammatory response [Minneci 2005; Kato 2006; Hsu 2007].

- hemolysis (ferricyanide, plasma free hemoglobin, hap-toglobin)
- LDH (isoenzymes 1 or 2)

Circulating markers of endothelial activation, circulating endothelial cells (CECs) and circulating endothelial progenitors (EPCs) are all potential markers of the systemic inflammatory response, although they remain to be validated as such [Tousoulis 2008; Cribbs 2008; Rabelink 2004; Scheubel 2003]. The value of shed endothelial adhesion molecules (e.g. sE-selectin, sICAM, sVCAM-1) as markers of endothelial activation is questionable [Malik 2001]. Endothelial function tests by non-invasive techniques such as flow mediated dilation, peripheral arterial tonometry and plethysmography may provide a more robust measure of clinical endothelial dysfunction following CPB, but remain difficult to perform in a clinical setting.

Fibrinolysis and blood loss: whereas there is some evidence that plasmin may exert direct platelet and chemoattractant effects during the systemic host response to surgery [Shigeta 1997; Syrovets 1997], the panel agreed that blood loss as measured by chest tube drainage should NOT be included as a marker of inflammation.

How many causal markers to measure? What criteria?

If one accepts the principle that the systemic host response to surgery consists of a multi-system disorder, then it stands to reason that more than one pathway of activation should be monitored when judging the clinical usefulness of a potential intervention [Butler 1993; Landis 2007a, 2007b].

The panel recommends that A MINIMUM OF TWO causal markers of the systemic host response should be measured: either 2 major criteria or 1 major and 1 minor criterion. Recommended major and minor criteria are summarised in Table 2.

Very few studies in the current literature would satisfy the stringent criteria of measuring more than one marker in different pathways. However, it would seem to be an inescapable truth that a multi-system disorder will require a multi-targeted intervention to be clinically significant, either through a combination of pharmacological interventions (e.g. anti-complement, antileukocyte, anti-coagulant, anti-cytokine), circuit modification strategies and/or changes in clinical practice aimed at minimizing localized trauma to vessels or organs. If progress is to be made towards achieving clinically meaningful interventions against the systemic host response, then multiple causal pathways must be monitored and targeted simultaneously. For examples of robust studies that satisfy most of the recommended cri-

Table 2. Causal Systemic Inflammatory Markers

Major Criteria* (at least 1	marker from these three major categories)
Vasoactive mediators	†cytokines
	chemokines
	acute phase proteins
	complement factors
Coagulation factors	kallikrein + kinins,
	thrombin
	tissue factor
Activated leukocytes	activation markers
	cytodestructive products
	extravascular leukocytes
Minor Criteria	
Oxidant stress	oxidative adducts
	fluorescent detection
Emboli	gaseous or particulate
	emboli
Hemolysis	plasma free hemoglobin
Fibrinolysis	plasmin, D-Dimers
Activated endothelium	endothelial progenitors endothelial dependent vasodilation

*Measure a minimum of 2 inflammatory markers (2 Major; or 1 Major+1 Minor) †Must specify time-point(s) at which chosen inflammatory markers were measured

teria, including clinical end-points as described below, refer to Giomarelli et al 2003, Rubens et al 2005 and Goudeau et al 2007 [Giomarelli 2003; Rubens 2005; Goudeau 2007].

CLINICAL END-POINTS

In order to link causal inflammatory markers to adverse clinical outcomes, the panel recommended that studies quantifying the systemic inflammatory response should measure at least 1 major clinical end-point. Ideally studies would be powered to measure traditional clinical end-points (STS Adult Cardiac Surgery Database: www.sts.org) such as:

- Death (index admission or 30-days)
- MI
- ARDS
- renal injury requiring dialysis
- stroke
- multi organ failure

However, we recognize that in practice it is not always feasible to power a study for rare adverse end-points, such as stroke, nor is there a clear value in reporting a composite endpoint. The problem is compounded when evaluating combinatorial drug therapies or multimodal interventions, in which case ballooning patient numbers would rapidly render studies non-feasible. Hence, the panel identified surrogate end-points of organ injury and measures of hospital stay/resource utilization that were deemed clinically meaningful but practical to measure and not too rare (Table 3). We hope that identification of such convenient clinical end-points will encourage investigators to identify

Major Criteria*	
Clinical Endpoints	death (index admission, 30 day)
·	MI
	ARDS
	dialysis
	stroke
	multi-organ failure
Markers of Organ Injury	CK-MB, troponin
	change in LVEF
	ECG changes of infarct
	alveolar arterial oxygen gradient
	intrapulmonary shunt
	lung water
	oliguria (<0.5mL/Kg/Hr)
	delta serum creatinine
	GFR
	need for CVVHF
	neuropsychological testing
	encephalopathy
	delirium, confusion
	brain oxygen saturation
	DW-MRI
	S-100B (in CSF)
gu	it ischemia by colonoscopy/gastroscopy
	neonates/infants: fluid accumulation
	on-pump
Hospital Stay/Resource Utilization	time on ICU
	inotrope requirements
	non-invasive ventilatory support
	pharmacological renal support wound infection

*Measure a minimum of 1 major criteria from the list of clinical end-points above

combinations of drugs and/or clinical management changes with potential anti-inflammatory benefits, at least to guide the initial phases of research.

CONCLUSION

If one accepts the principle that the systemic inflammatory response to cardiac surgery consists of multiple host defense pathways simultaneously activated, then it stands to reason that more than one pathway of activation should be monitored, especially when judging the clinical usefulness of an intervention. The purpose of this consensus document was to define minimal criteria relating to equipment and perfusion techniques that should be reported, causal inflammatory markers that should be measured and to identify useful and appropriate clinical endpoints that may be monitored - our recommendations are summarised in the Figure. Specifically, we have recommended: 1) mandatory reporting of minimal CPB and perfusion criteria that may affect outcomes (Table 1) and 2) reporting of causal inflammatory markers (minimum of two) that may link

Summary of Recommendations:

- I. Minimal description of CPB equipment and perfusion techniques used. See Table I.
- 2. Report a minimum of 3 criteria (3 major criteria or 2 major and 1 minor). See Tables 2 & 3:

i.e.

3 major (2 inflammatory and 1 clinical end-point or marker of organ injury)

or

2 major (1 inflammatory and 1 clinical end-point or marker of organ injury) and **I minor** criteria.

exposures to outcomes (Table 2) and 3) reporting of at least one clinical end-point of organ injury, from a list of apt end-points and markers of organ injury that are practical to measure yet clinically meaningful (Table 3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

We acknowledge participants at the 2008 Outcomes XII conference, Barbados, May 21-24, who contributed to discussions on the systemic inflammatory response.

REFERENCES

Aldea GS, Soltow LO, Chandler WL, Triggs CM, Vocelka CR, Crockett GI, Shin YT, Curtis WE, Verrier ED. 2002. Limitation of thrombin generation, platelet activation, and inflammation by elimination of cardiotomy suction in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting treated with heparinbonded circuits. J Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg 123:742-55.

Allen S, McBride WT, Young IS, MacGowan SW, McMurray TJ, Prabhu S, Penugonda SP, Armstrong MA. 2005. A clinical, renal and immunological assessment of surface modifying additive treated (SMART) cardiopulmonary bypass circuits. Perfusion 20:255-62.

Allen SJ, McBride WT, McMurray TJ, Phillips AS, Penugonda SP, Campalani G, Young IS, Armstrong MA. 2007. Cell salvage alters the systemic inflammatory response after off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. Ann. Thorac. Surg 83:578-85.

Anttila V, Hagino I, Iwata I, Mettler BA, Lidov HG, Zurakowski D, Jonas RA. 2006. Aprotinin improves cerebral protection: evidence from a survival porcine model. J Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg 132:948-53.

Banbury MK, Brizzio ME, Rajeswaran J, Lytle BW, Blackstone EH. 2006. Transfusion increases the risk of postoperative infection after cardiovascular surgery. J Am. Coll. Surg 202:131-8.

Bone RC, Balk RA, Cerra FB, Dellinger RP, Fein AM, Knaus WA, Schein RM, Sibbald WJ. 1992. Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. The ACCP/SCCM Consensus Conference Committee. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine. Chest 101:1644-55.

Brown MC, Donnelly JE. 2000. Impact of closed versus open venous reservoirs on patient outcomes in isolated coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Perfusion 15:467-72.

Brown WR, Moody DM, Challa VR, Stump DA, Hammon JW. 2000. Longer duration of cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with greater numbers of cerebral microemboli. Stroke 31:707-13.

Burris NS, Brown EN, Grant M, Kon ZN, Gibber M, Gu J, Schwartz K, Kallam S, Joshi A, Vitali R, Poston RS. 2008. Optical coherence tomography imaging as a quality assurance tool for evaluating endoscopic harvest of the

radial artery. Ann. Thorac. Surg 85:1271-7.

Butler J, Rocker GM, Westaby S. 1993. Inflammatory response to cardiopulmonary bypass. Ann. Thorac. Surg 55:552-9.

Christen S, Finckh B, Lykkesfeldt J, Gessler P, Frese-Schaper M, Nielsen P, Schmid ER, Schmitt B. 2005. Oxidative stress precedes peak systemic inflammatory response in pediatric patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass operation. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 38:1323-32.

Clark WM, Madden KP, Rothlein R, Zivin JA. 1991. Reduction of central nervous system ischemic injury in rabbits using leukocyte adhesion antibody treatment. Stroke 22:877-83.

Cribbs SK, Martin GS, Rojas M. 2008. Monitoring of endothelial dysfunction in critically ill patients: the role of endothelial progenitor cells. Curr. Opin. Crit Care 14:354-60.

Davis CL, Kausz AT, Zager RA, Kharasch ED, Cochran RP. 1999. Acute renal failure after cardiopulmonary bypass in related to decreased serum ferritin levels. J Am. Soc. Nephrol. 10:2396-402.

De Hert SG, Turani F, Mathur S, Stowe DF. 2005. Cardioprotection with volatile anesthetics: mechanisms and clinical implications. Anesth. Analg. 100:1584-93.

De Somer F, Van BY, Caes F, Francois K, Arnout J, Bossuyt X, Taeymans Y, Van NG. 2002. Phosphorylcholine coating offers natural platelet preservation during cardiopulmonary bypass. Perfusion 17:39-44.

Diego RP, Mihalakakos PJ, Hexum TD, Hill GE. 1997. Methylprednisolone and full-dose aprotinin reduce reperfusion injury after cardiopulmonary bypass. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth. 11:29-31.

Entman ML, Youker K, Shoji T, Kukielka G, Shappell SB, Taylor AA, Smith CW. 1992. Neutrophil induced oxidative injury of cardiac myocytes. A compartmented system requiring CD11b/CD18-ICAM-1 adherence. J Clin. Invest 90:1335-45.

Eppinger MJ, Jones ML, Deeb GM, Bolling SF, Ward PA. 1995. Pattern of injury and the role of neutrophils in reperfusion injury of rat lung. J Surg Res. 58:713-18.

Evans BJ, Haskard DO, Finch JR, Hambleton IR, Landis RC, Taylor KM. 2008. The inflammatory effect of cardiopulmonary bypass on leukocyte extravasation in vivo. J Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg 135: 999-1006.

Furnary AP, Wu Y, Hiratzka LF, Grunkemeier GL, Page US, III. 2007. Aprotinin does not increase the risk of renal failure in cardiac surgery patients. Circulation 116:I127-33.

Giomarelli P, Scolletta S, Borrelli E, Biagioli B. 2003. Myocardial and lung injury after cardiopulmonary bypass: role of interleukin (IL)-10. Ann. Thorac. Surg 76:117-23.

Goudeau JJ, Clermont G, Guillery O, Lemaire-Ewing S, Musat A, Vernet M, Vergely C, Guiguet M, Rochette L, Girard C. 2007. In high-risk patients, combination of antiinflammatory procedures during cardiopulmonary bypass can reduce incidences of inflammation and oxidative stress. J Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 49:39-45.

Habib RH, Zacharias A, Schwann TA, Riordan CJ, Engoren M, Durham SJ, Shah A. 2005. Role of hemodilutional anemia and transfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass in renal injury after coronary revascularization: implications on operative outcome. Crit Care Med. 33:1749-56.

Hammon JW, Stump DA, Butterworth JF, Moody DM, Rorie K, Deal DD, Kincaid EH, Oaks TE, KonND. 2006. Single crossclamp improves 6-month cognitive outcome in high-risk coronary bypass patients: the effect of reduced aortic manipulation. J Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg 131:114-21.

Haugen O, Farstad M, Myklebust R, Kvalheim V, Hammersborg S, Husby P. 2007. Low perfusion pressure during CPB may induce cerebral metabolic and ultrastructural changes. Scand. Cardiovasc. J 41:331-8.

Hill GE, Pohorecki R, Alonso A, Rennard SI, Robbins RA. 1996. Aprotinin reduces interleukin-8 production and lung neutrophil accumulation after cardiopulmonary bypass. Anesth. Analg. 83:696-700.

Hsu LL, Champion HC, Campbell-Lee SA, Bivalacqua TJ, Manci EA, Diwan BA, Schimel DM, Cochard AE, Wang X, Schechter AN, Noguchi CT, Gladwin MT. 2007. Hemolysis in sickle cell mice causes pulmonary hypertension due to global impairment in nitric oxide bioavailability. Blood 109:3088-98.

Jones TJ, Deal DD, Vernon JC, Blackburn N, Stump DA. 2002a. Does vacuum-assisted venous drainage increase gaseous microemboli during cardiopulmonary bypass? Ann. Thorac. Surg 74:2132-7.

Jones TJ, Deal DD, Vernon JC, Blackburn N, Stump DA. 2002b. How effective are cardiopulmonary bypass circuits at removing gaseous microemboli? J Extra. Corpor. Technol. 34:34-9.

Kamiya T, Katayama Y, Kashiwagi F, Terashi A. 1993. The role of bradykinin in mediating ischemic brain edema in rats. Stroke 24:571-5.

Kaplanski G, Marin V, Fabrigoule M, Boulay V, Benoliel AM, Bongrand P, Kaplanski S, Farnarier C. 1998. Thrombin-activated human endothelial cells support monocyte adhesion in vitro following expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1; CD54) and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1; CD106). Blood 92:1259-67.

Kat GJ, McGowan V, Machado RF, Little JA, Taylor J, Morris CR, Nichols JS, Wang X, Poljakovic M, Morris SM, Jr., Gladwin MT. 2006. Lactate dehydrogenase as a biomarker of hemolysis-associated nitric oxide resistance, priapism, leg ulceration, pulmonary hypertension, and death in patients with sickle cell disease. Blood 107:2279-85.

Kincaid EH, Ashburn DA, Hoyle JR, Reichert MG, Hammon JW, Kon ND. 2005. Does the combination of aprotinin and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor cause renal failure after cardiac surgery? Ann. Thorac. Surg. 80:1388-93.

Landis C. 2007. Pharmacologic strategies for combating the inflammatory response. J Extra. Corpor. Technol. 39:291-5.

Landis C. 2007. Why the inflammatory response is important to the cardiac surgical patient. J Extra. Corpor. Technol. 39, 281-284.

Landis RC, Brown JR, Murkin JM, Likosky DS, Baker RA. 2008. An evidence-based review of pharmaceutical interventions to limit the systemic inflammatory response in cardiac surgery. Heart Surg.Forum in press.

Levy JH. 2008. Pharmacologic methods to reduce perioperative bleeding. Transfusion 48:31S-8S.

Lidington EA, Haskard DO, Mason JC. 2000. Induction of decay-accelerating factor by thrombin through a protease-activated receptor 1 and protein kinase C-dependent pathway protects vascular endothelial cells from complement-mediated injury. Blood 96:2784-92.

Malik I, Danesh J, Whincup P, Bhatia V, Papacosta O, Walker M, Lennon L, Thomson A, Haskard D. 2001. Soluble adhesion molecules and prediction of coronary heart disease: a prospective study and meta-analysis. Lancet 358:971-6.

McBride WT, Armstrong MA, Crockard AD, McMurray TJ, Rea JM. 1995. Cytokine balance and immunosuppressive changes at cardiac surgery: contrasting response between patients and isolated CPB circuits. Br. J Anaesth. 75:724-33.

Minneci PC, Deans KJ, Zhi H, Yuen PS, Star RA, Banks SM, Schechter AN, Natanson C, Gladwin MT, Solomon SB. 2005. Hemolysis-associated endothelial dysfunction mediated by accelerated NO inactivation by decompartmentalized oxyhemoglobin. J Clin. Invest 115:3409-17.

Murkin JM, Adams SJ, Novick RJ, Quantz M, Bainbridge D, Iglesias I, Cleland A, Schaefer B, Irwin B, Fox S. 2007. Monitoring brain oxygen saturation during coronary bypass surgery: a randomized, prospective study. Anesth. Analg. 104L51-8.

Poston RS, Gu J, Brown JM, Gammie JS, White C, Nie L, Pierson RN, III, Griffith BP. 2006. Endothelial injury and acquired aspirin resistance as promoters of regional thrombin formation and early vein graft failure after coronary artery bypass grafting. J Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg 131:122-30.

Rabelink TJ, de Boer HC, de Koning EJ, van Zonneveld AJ. 2004. Endothelial progenitor cells: more than an inflammatory response? Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 24:834-8.

Radaelli A, Loardi C, Cazzaniga M, Balestri G, DeCarlini C, Cerrito MG, Cusa EN, Guerra L, Garducci S, Santo D, Menicanti L, Paolini G, Azzellino A, Lavitrano ML, Mancia G, Ferrari AU. 2007. Inflammatory activation during coronary artery surgery and its dose-dependent modulation by statin/ACE-inhibitor combination. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 27:2750-5.

Ranucci M, Isgro G, Romitti F, Mele S, Biagioli B, Giomarelli P. 2006. Anaerobic metabolism during cardiopulmonary bypass: predictive value of carbon dioxide derived parameters. Ann. Thorac. Surg 81:2189-95.

Ranucci M, Romitti F, Isgro G, Cotza M, Brozzi S, Boncilli A, Ditta A. 2005. Oxygen delivery during cardiopulmonary bypass and acute renal failure after coronary operations. Ann. Thorac. Surg 80:2213-20.

Rinder CS, Rinder HM, Johnson K, Smith M, Lee DL, Tracey J, Polack G, Higgins P, Yeh CG, Smith BR. 1999. Role of C3 cleavage in monocyte activation during extracorporeal circulation. Circulation 100:553-8.

Rinder CS, Smith MJ, Rinder HM, Cortright DN, Brodbeck RM, Krause JE, Smith BR. 2007. Leukocyte effects of C5a-receptor blockade during simulated extracorporeal circulation. Ann. Thorac. Surg 83:146-52.

Rothlein R, Kishimoto TK, Mainolfi E. 1994. Cross-linking of ICAM-1 induces co-signaling of an oxidative burst from mononuclear leukocytes. J Immunol. 152:2488-95.

Rubens FD, Nathan H, Labow R, Williams KS, Wozny D, Karsh J, Ruel M, Mesana T. 2005. Effects of methylprednisolone and a biocompatible copolymer circuit on blood activation during cardiopulmonary bypass. Ann. Thorac. Surg 79:655-65.

Scheubel RJ, Zorn H, Silber RE, Kuss O, Morawietz H, Holtz J, Simm A. 2003. Age-dependent depression in circulating endothelial progenitor cells in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. J Am. Coll. Cardiol. 42:2073-80.

Schwartz AE, Sandhu AA, Kaplon RJ, Young WL, Jonassen AE, Adams DC, Edwards NM, Sistino JJ, Kwiatkowski P, Michler RE. 1995. Cerebral blood flow is determined by arterial pressure and not cardiopulmonary bypass flow rate. Ann. Thorac. Surg 60:165-9.

Seekamp A, Mulligan MS, Till GO, Smith CW, Miyasaka M, Tamatani T, Todd RF, III, Ward PA. 1993. Role of beta 2 integrins and ICAM-1 in lung injury following ischemia-reperfusion of rat hind limbs. Am. J Pathol. 143:464-72.

Shann KG, Likosky DS, Murkin JM, Baker RA, Baribeau YR, DeFoe GR, Dickinson TA, Gardner TJ, Grocott HP, O'Connor GT, Rosinski DJ, Sellke FW, Willcox TW. 2006. An evidence-based review of the practice of cardiopulmonary bypass in adults: a focus on neurologic injury, glycemic control, hemodilution, and the inflammatory response. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 132:283-90.

Shappell SB, Toman C, Anderson DC, Taylor AA, Entman ML, Smith CW. 1990. Mac-1 (CD11b/CD18) mediates adherence-dependent hydrogen peroxide production by human and canine neutrophils. J Immunol. 144:2702-11.

Shigeta O, Kojima H, Jikuya T, Terada Y, Atsumi N, Sakakibara Y, Nagasawa T, Mitsui T. 1997. Aprotinin inhibits plasmin-induced platelet activation

during cardiopulmonary bypass. Circulation 96:569-74.

Spiess BD, Royston D, Levy JH, Fitch J, Dietrich W, Body S, Murkin J, Nadel A. 2004. Platelet transfusions during coronary artery bypass graft surgery are associated with serious adverse outcomes. Transfusion 44:1143-8.

Stensrud PE, Nuttall GA, de Castro MA, Abel MD, Ereth MH, Oliver WC, Jr, Bryant SC, Schaff HV. 1999. A prospective, randomized study of cardiopulmonary bypass temperature and blood transfusion. Ann. Thorac. Surg 67:711-5.

Stump DA. 2007. Deformable emboli and inflammation: temporary or permanent damage? J Extra. Corpor. Technol. 39:289-90.

Syrovets T, Tippler B, Rieks M, Simmet T. 1997. Plasmin is a potent and specific chemoattractant for human peripheral monocytes acting via a cyclic guanosine monophosphate-dependent pathway. Blood 89:4574-83.

Tanaka K, Kanamori Y, Sato T, Kondo C, Katayama Y, Yada I, Yuasa H, Kusagawa M. 1991. Administration of haptoglobin during cardiopulmonary bypass surgery. ASAIO Trans. 37:M482-3.

Taylor KM. 1998. Central nervous system effects of cardiopulmonary bypass. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 66:S20-4.

Tousoulis D, Andreou I, Antoniades C, Tentolouris C, Stefanadis C. 2008. Role of inflammation and oxidative stress in endothelial progenitor cell function and mobilization: Therapeutic implications for cardiovascular diseases. Atherosclerosis.

Verrier ED, Shernan SK, Taylor KM, Van de WF, Newman MF, Chen JC, Carrier M, Haverich A, Malloy KJ, Adams PX, Todaro TG, Mojcik CF, Rollins SA, Levy JH. 2004. Terminal complement blockade with pexelizumab during coronary artery bypass graft surgery requiring cardiopulmonary bypass: a randomized trial. JAMA 291:2319-27.

Wachtfogel YT, Kucich U, Hack CE, Gluszko P, Niewiarowski S, Colman RW, Edmunds LH, Jr. 1993. Aprotinin inhibits the contact, neutrophil, and platelet activation systems during simulated extracorporeal perfusion. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 106:1-9.

Weiss SJ. 1989. Tissue destruction by neutrophils. N. Engl. J Med. 320:365-76.

Wojciak-Stothard B, Potempa S, Eichholtz T, Ridley AJ. 2001. Rho and Rac but not Cdc42 regulate endothelial cell permeability. J Cell Sci. 114:1343-55.

GLOSSARY

ACEI	- inhibitors of angiotensin-converting enzyme
APC	- activated protein C
APC-PCI	- activated protein C-protein C inhibitor complex
ARDS	- adult respiratory distress syndrome
CD11b	- leukocyte integrin adhesion receptor, alphaM subunit
CD18	- leukocyte integrin adhesion receptor, beta2 subunit
CK-MB	- creatinine kinase-myocardial band
CPB	- cardiopulmonary bypass
CRP	- C reactive protein
CSF	- cerebro spinal fluid
CVVHF	- continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration
DO2	- oxygen delivery
DW-MRI	- diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging
GFR	- glomerual filtration rate
F1.2	- prothrombin fragment F1.2
FFP	- fresh frozen plasma
ICU	- intensive care unit
IL	- interleukin
LDH	- lactate dehydrogenase
LTB4	- leukotriene B4
MCP	- monocyte chemoattractant protein
MDA	- malondialdehyde
MI	- myocardial infarction
MMP	- matrix metalloproteinase
PAF	- platelet activating factor
PRBC	- packed red blood cells
ROS	- reactive oxygen species
S100B	- S100 calcium binding protein B
TAT	- thrombin-antithrombin complex
TBARS	- thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances
TNF	- tumor necrosis factor