Is Epicardial Adipose Tissue Associated with Atrial Fibrillation Following Cardiac Surgery? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Rina Sha, MD,1 Wenqiang Han, MD,1 Mingjie Lin, PhD,1,2 Jingquan Zhong, PhD,1,3

1The Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Remodeling and Function Research, Chinese Ministry of Education, Chinese National Health Commission and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, The State and Shandong Province Joint Key Laboratory of Translational Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Cardiology, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China; 2Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China; 3Department of Cardiology, Qilu Hospital (Qingdao), Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Qingdao, China

ABSTRACT

Background: Although epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) has been proven to be related to atrial fibrillation (AF) and post-ablation AF recurrence, the association between EAT and AF after cardiac surgery (AFACS) remains unclear.

Objective: This study was a systematic review and meta-analysis that assessed the relationship between EAT and AFACS.

Methods: Electronic databases were systematically searched for “atrial fibrillation” and “epicardial adipose tissue.” The analysis was stratified according to the EAT measurement into three meta-analyses as (1) total EAT volume, (2) left atrial (LA)-EAT volume, and (3) EAT thickness. Standardized mean difference (SMD) was estimated using a random effects model.

Results: Eight articles with 10 studies (546 patients) were included. The meta-analysis revealed that EAT was higher in those with AFACS irrespective of the EAT measurement (total EAT volume: SMD = 0.56 mL, 95% confidence interval, CI = 0.56–1.10 mL, F = 0.90, P = .04; EAT thickness: SMD = 0.85 mm, 95% CI = 0.04–1.65 mm, F = 0.90, P = .04; LA-EAT volume: SMD = 0.57 mL, 95% CI = 0.23–0.92 mL, F = 0.00, P = .001).

Conclusion: EAT was higher in patients with AFACS, measured either as volume or thickness.

INTRODUCTION

Background

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is one of the most common arrhythmia with an increasing rate of morbidity and mortality [Gaita 2018]. AF after cardiac surgery (AFACS) is one of the most common postoperative complications of cardiac surgical procedures; it occurs in up to 30% of patients [D’Agostino 2018]. Although cardiac surgery-associated morbidity and mortality have reduced, the incidence of AFACS remains almost unchanged [Shen 2011; D’Agostino 2016]. AFACS may have a negative influence on postoperative results in the long term. It can result in a two-fold increase in all-cause postoperative 30-day and 6-month mortality compared with those in patients with sinus rhythm [Schwann 2018].

Figure 1. Flow chart of the articles included in the study. EAT, epicardial adipose tissue
Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>AF occurrence (n, mean ± SD)</th>
<th>non-AF occurrence (n, mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Type of EAT</th>
<th>Imaging</th>
<th>Article type</th>
<th>Quantity score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>28, 195 ± 80</td>
<td>55, 126 ± 47</td>
<td>EAT volume (ml)</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>19/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>35, 135.71 ± 46.78</td>
<td>114, 118.71 ± 42.85</td>
<td>EAT volume (ml)</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>4/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14, 7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>32, 7.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>EAT thickness (mm)</td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>18/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>20, 7.62 ± 0.69</td>
<td>57, 7.14 ± 1.14</td>
<td>EAT thickness (mm)</td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>17/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12, 7.61 ± 1.01</td>
<td>37, 5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>EAT thickness (mm)</td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>20/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37, 5.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>37, 5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>EAT thickness (mm)</td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>19/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>21, 177.7 ± 69.8</td>
<td>56, 165.9 ± 65.9</td>
<td>LA EAT volume (ml)</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>19/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>21, 55.65 ± 23.15</td>
<td>56, 43.8 ± 21.6</td>
<td>LA EAT volume (ml)</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>18/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF, atrial fibrillation; EAT, epicardial adipose tissue; SD, standard deviation; CT, computed tomography; OA, original article; CA, conference abstract; VHD, valvular heart disease; NVHD, non-valvular heart disease; LA, left atrium. (Note: Unit of the volume and thickness variables are milliliter (ml) and millimeter (mm), respectively)

Recently, more and more people have begun to pay attention to the association between epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) and AF because of its inflammatory and endocrine properties [Wong 2017]. EAT is an ectopic adipose tissue, including fat deposits (such as pericardial fat, perivascular fat, and myocardial steatosis), between the myocardium and visceral pericardium. Based on the location, it is easy to understand the physiological functions of the EAT, which include supplying the cardiomyocytes with energy from lipids storage, protecting autonomic ganglia and nerve tissue, and regulating coronary vessels [Rachwalik 2019]. Therefore, EAT may play a central role in cardiovascular disease pathogenesis [Zhou 2020]. Several studies have demonstrated an increasing correlation between EAT and coronary artery disease, atherosclerosis, and progression of coronary plaque burden [Rosito 2008; Ding 2009; Mahabadi 2009], major adverse cardiovascular events [Cheng 2010], and AF [Venteclef 2015; Thanassoulis 2010; Wong 2011; Batal 2010]. These findings suggested that EAT has potential to be a biomarker for cardiovascular disease in clinical application.

The relationship between EAT and AFACS first was described by Drossos et al [Drossos 2014] in 2014. Since then, more than 10 studies have been performed on this topic. However, they have been done in different populations and included different procedures (coronary artery bypass grafting or valve replacement) with different EAT measurements (computed tomography [CT] and echocardiography) and diverse intervals of follow up [Rachwalik 2019; Drossos 2014; Wang 2019; Opolski 2015; Kogo 2019; Liu 2020; Gunturk 2020; Ozbek 2018]. This study is the first attempt to prove the association between AFACS and EAT in patients with and without AF following cardiac surgery, via systematic review, and meta-analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy

The search was performed using the PubMed, Cochrane, and Embase databases with the note before May 30, 2020. The search was performed using the following expressions: ['epicardial adipose tissue' OR 'epicardial fat' OR 'pericardial adipose tissue' OR 'pericardial fat' OR 'paracardial adipose tissue' OR 'paracardial fat') AND ['atrial fibrillation (MeSH Terms)'] to discern and search all potentially relevant researches. Additionally, manual searches of the reference list of the included studies were performed. We conducted this meta-analysis following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. The systematic review was registered in PROSPERO (ID: 219400). As per the different measuring methods of EAT, the eligible studies were categorized into three sub-groups of total EAT volume, EAT thickness, and LA-EAT volume.

Eligibility criteria

Case reports and review articles were excluded. Only English publications in peer-reviewed journals were eligible for this meta-analysis if they: (1) reported EAT thickness or volume with at least one of the following main confounders: age, sex, hypertension, and body mass index (BMI); (2) measured EAT indexes in two groups of patients—those with AFACS and without AFACS; and 3) evaluated EAT using CT, magnetic resonance imaging, or echocardiography. The literature search was independently evaluated by two specialists to assure conformation to the article’s inclusion criteria. If no agreement could be reached, it was decided by a third (senior) author.

Study quality assessment

The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement [von Elm
2014] was utilized to evaluate the quality of the included studies. The STROBE guidelines consist of 22 items that assess the quality of the information reported in the abstract, introduction, study design and settings, statistical evaluations, results, discussion, and findings. The included studies were scored on a rating scale of 0–22, with a higher score reflecting higher quality. The STROBE score was independently evaluated by two investigators. A third senior author was consulted in cases of disagreements. In view of conference abstracts without complete articles available, we used another 12-item STROBE checklist. All scores are summarized in Table 1.

**Statistical methods**

The Cochrane Q test and $I^2$ index were utilized to evaluate individual studies’ heterogeneity; $I^2 > 50\%$ suggested high heterogeneity. All studies adopted a random effects model in view of high heterogeneity. Considering the small sample size, we used sensitivity analysis to explore the probable sources of heterogeneity. The possibility of publication bias was assessed with funnel plots and Egger’s regression test. Statistical analysis was executed using the STATA package v16 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). $P < .05$ was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Study selection**

The literature search showed 1054 articles, and three more records were identified through a hand search of the reference lists of the selected articles. Overall, 453 duplicated records and 567 topics irrelevant to the subject were excluded. After reading the full text of the 37 remaining articles, 29 articles were excluded because of a lack of EAT measurement (N = 11), cardiac surgery (N = 13), AF (N = 2), or comparisons (N = 3). Ultimately, the 10 studies published in eight articles [Rachwalik 2019; Drossos 2014; Wang 2019; Opolski 2015; Kogo 2019; Liu 2020; Gunturk 2020; Ozbek 2018] with three sub-groups were included in the study (Figure 1).

**Study characteristics**

Patients with AF (N = 154) and those without AF (N = 392) postoperatively were labeled as AFACS and non-AFACS, respectively. Most [Rachwalik 2019; Drossos 2014; Wang 2019; Opolski 2015; Kogo 2019] of the studies included full-text articles, while only one study [Ozbek 2018] was available as a conference abstract. EAT measurement involved EAT volume and LA-EAT volume, which were assessed using CT and EAT thickness assessed using echocardiography. EAT thickness was defined as an echo-free region between the right ventricular outer wall and the visceral pericardium. Other patient demographics and basic characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

**Total epicardial fat volume**

Three studies [Drossos 2014; Kogo 2019; Ozbek 2018] (309 patients) included EAT volume. The comparison of total EAT volume between AFACS and non-AFACS revealed SMD of 0.56 mL (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.02–1.10, $P = .04$), the result suggesting that EAT volume was higher in AFACS patient group. Apparent significant heterogeneity was found between the studies ($I^2 = 76\%$, $P = .01$) (Figure 2A).

**Epicardial fat thickness**

Five studies [Rachwalik 2019; Wang 2019; Liu 2020; Gunturk 2020] (334 patients) assessed EAT thickness using echocardiography. Patients with AFACS had a significantly higher EAT thickness than those without AFACS (SMD = 0.85 mm, 95% CI = 0.04–1.65, $P = .04$; $I^2 = 90\%$) (Figure 2B).

Left atrium epicardial fat volume: Two studies [Opolski 2015; Kogo 2019] (178 patients) measured LA-EAT. Their results demonstrated that there was a significant distinction in SMD between AFACS and non-AFACS (0.57 mL, 95% CI = 0.23–0.92, $P = .001$; $I^2 = 0.0\%$), thus, suggesting a higher LA-EAT volume in patients with AFACS (Figure 2C).

**Quality assessment and publication bias**

The quality of the articles in this meta-analysis varied to a great extent. The STROBE scores were 17 to 20 for the full-text articles (Table 1). Potential sources of bias deserved further exploring. Furthermore, the eligibility criteria for patients were not explicit in most research. Figure 3 illustrates the relative symmetry of the funnel plots of publications (Figure 3). However, according to the Egger test for small-study effects, there was no evidence of publication bias ($P = .160$).
DISCUSSION

Main results

This study mainly demonstrated an association between EAT and AFACS. Three meta-analyses were carried out to explore the relationship between total EAT volume, LA-specific EAT volume, and AFACS. Their findings were similar, which demonstrated a positive association between EAT and AFACS. Surprisingly, the similar findings between studies that used EAT thickness and EAT volume emphasized the value of echocardiography in the evaluation of EAT because of its security and universality [Ghaderi 2016]. This study, the first effort to clarify the relationship between EAT and AFACS, suggests a new probable imaging indicator of AFACS, which will require further research.

EAT and AFACS

Although the mechanism underlying the relationship between EAT and AFACS remains unclear, reports demonstrating associations between abundant EAT and AF can be studied. EAT is located between the myocardium and visceral pericardium, primarily over the surfaces of the right ventricle and anterior wall of left ventricle. It also encompasses the atrioventricular grooves and great coronary vessels. It's worth noting that the thickness of EAT over the anterior and lateral walls of the right atrium was the largest [Ansaldo 2019]. Due to its location, it exerts local paracrine effects in the form of increased expression of numerous inflammatory markers; additionally, it modulates the adjacent myocardial tissue with an abundance of ganglionated plexuses of the autonomic nervous system as a metabolically active tissue [Batal 2010; Mazurek 2003; Rossi 2012]. Furthermore, abundant EAT has been demonstrated to be associated with fatty infiltration into the adjacent myocardium using histopathological evidence [Haemers 2017]. Mahajan and Zghaib et al [Mahajan 2015; Zghaib 2016] demonstrated that EAT could cause lower bipolar voltage and electrogram fractionation as electrophysiologic substrates for AF. Besides, there is speculation that the disequilibrium between exceeding oxidative stress and adiponectin’s guarding results finally contribute to AF [Zhou 2020].

AFACS is associated with various factors, including clinical variables, intraoperative surgical variables, electrocardiograph markers, and echocardiographic predictors. In detail, advanced age, hypertension, male sex, right coronary artery stenosis, depressed left ventricular function, remote history of previous AF, combined valve replacement/coronary artery bypass grafting procedures, prolonged aortic cross-clamp and bypass times, PR-interval, QRS duration, abnormal left ventricular systolic and diastolic functions, left ventricular hypertrophy, and increased LA volume may predispose to postoperative AF [Aranki 1996; Hogue 2000; Tran 2015; Zhang 2016; Gu 2017]. AFACS was also demonstrated to be associated with systemic inflammatory response syndrome based on increased serum levels of inflammatory markers, such as interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, C-reactive protein, tumor necrosis factor-α, and indices of neutrophil and platelet activation [Echahidi 2008; Jakubová 2012]. Electrolyte imbalance, especially hypokalemia, also is considered a triggering factor of AFACS [Echahidi 2008; Bharucha 2000].

It was proven that obesity or high BMI is a risk factor for AF [Hatem 2015; Wang 2004]. Compared with nonobese people, obese patients have more risk (49%) of AF, which could be as a result of atrial enlargement, ventricular diastolic dysfunction, as well as increased ectopic fat depot [Wang 2004]. In other reports, EAT was demonstrated to be related to AF so far as to after regulating with risk factors, such as high BMI [Thanassoulis 2010; Wong 2016]. However, Echahidi et al [Echahidi 2008] reported that metabolic syndrome and obesity were independent risk factors for AFACS. Additionally, EAT was associated with obesity or high BMI [Wong 2016]. Therefore, whether EAT should be regarded as an independent trigger or a modulator of obesity in AFACS requires further clarification.

EAT and AFACS relationship has been confirmed; thus, suggesting that EAT may include the potential to reduce the risk of AFACS. EAT and its accumulation may be reduced by antidiabetic drugs, such as thiazolidinediones [Grosso 2014], glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists [Dutour 2016], dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors [Lima-Martinez 2016], and sodium-dependent glucose transporter 2 inhibitors [Sato 2018]. Additionally, weight reduction by diet or more sports has been recommended to decrease EAT in the
interventricular groove, which suggests a correlation with reduced insulin resistance and improved insulin sensitivity indices [Gaborit 2012]. A control study (in vivo and in vitro) that included patients undergoing cardiac surgery for aortic stenosis suggested a robust association between statin therapy and reduced EAT accumulation, which appeared to relate with the anti-inflammatory effects of statins [Parisi 2019]. Considering that EAT contains a large amount of ganglionated plexi, an increasing number of studies aim to lower the inducibility of AF by injecting botulinum toxin into canine epicardial autonomic ganglia [Pokushalov 2015]. Although the idea was hypothetical, it is promising as a potential novel therapeutic option. All these therapies might reduce EAT and decrease the occurrence of several diseases, particularly metabolic diseases, strongly related to AFACS.

Ten studies were categorized into sub-groups, according to the method of assessing EAT, such as echocardiography and CT. Comparatively, CT results were more precise than echocardiography findings because EAT is not evenly distributed around the heart. The optimal angle of the echocardiographic probe for two-dimensional imaging may reduce its reproducibility and accuracy; thus, precludes the accurate measurement of pericardial fat or total EAT due to overlap with perivascular adipose tissue. However, in patients with a history of coronary atherosclerosis, which increases EAT thickness, imaging analysis probably provides accurate measurement. Therefore, Nerlekar et al [Nerlekar 2018] demonstrated that EAT measured using CT had poor correlation compared with that measured using echocardiography, and this discrepancy was particularly obvious at greater EAT thickness.

Cause of heterogeneity

In this study, obvious heterogeneity was observed in two meta-analyses in comparison of EAT volume and thickness in AFACS and non-AFACS patients. The study conducted the random effects model in view of the high heterogeneity. However, dividing all studies into three categories—LA-EAT volume, total EAT volume, as well as EAT thickness—reduced the heterogeneity. A meta-regression analysis did not find any possibility of heterogeneity amid different factors (Figure S1), for instance year, country, male sex, EAT evaluation methods, and the quality of studies on account of the STROBE checklist. Furthermore, age, indication of cardiac surgery and EAT measured area, BMI, as well as other classical AF risk factors, were extra probable origins of heterogeneity, which we were unable to evaluate without detailed data. According to the sensitivity analysis (Table 2A), it is suggested that the study by Gunturk et al [Gunturk 2020] could be one of the sources of heterogeneity. (Table 2A) The endpoint of other studies was AF, which was defined according to the European Society of Cardiology guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. However, in the study by Ertugrul et al, AFACS was defined as arrhythmic episodes that deteriorate the hemodynamics, last for longer than 20 minutes per episode, or last for longer than 60 minutes during a 24-hour follow-up, which underestimates the incidence of AFACS. If the study by Ertugrul et al is omitted, the sensitivity analysis revealed no heterogeneity (Table 2B).

Study limitations

Due to its observational nature, the current study does not occupy a high position in the pyramid of Evidence-Based Medicine. The studies included were single-center trials with small sample sizes, which might have resulted in unreliable data. The inclusion of only two studies that evaluated LA-EAT thickness is another limitation, because they lacked definitive proof and were unable to arrive at a clear conclusion. Finally, there was a significant degree of heterogeneity between the studies, which might be limiting and was elaborated on in other meta-analyses with $I^2 > 90%$ [Deng 2017; Shimabukuro 2013; Xu 2012].

Table 2A. Results of sensitivity analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study omitted</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>95% Conf. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drossos (2014)</td>
<td>0.66642737</td>
<td>0.50066859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozbek (2018)</td>
<td>0.7832132</td>
<td>0.6100805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogo (total EAT)(2018)</td>
<td>0.77475089</td>
<td>0.60951865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachwalik (2019)</td>
<td>0.7802138</td>
<td>0.61849688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang (VHD) (2018)</td>
<td>0.73446065</td>
<td>0.5713752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang (NVHD) (2018)</td>
<td>0.67772061</td>
<td>0.51734973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (2019)</td>
<td>0.74463749</td>
<td>0.57779455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunturk (2020)</td>
<td>0.53569818</td>
<td>0.36762503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opolski (2015)</td>
<td>0.72998023</td>
<td>0.56324661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogo (LA-EAT)(2018)</td>
<td>0.73505941</td>
<td>0.57005066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>0.7163113</td>
<td>0.55935277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EAT, epicardial adipose tissue; VHD, valvular heart disease; NVHD, non-valvular heart disease; LA, left atrium

Table 2B. Results of sensitivity analysis leaving out the study by Ertugrul et al.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study omitted</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>95% Conf. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drossos (2014)</td>
<td>0.45323172</td>
<td>0.27421573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozbek (2018)</td>
<td>0.57125425</td>
<td>0.38401466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogo (total EAT)(2018)</td>
<td>0.58101773</td>
<td>0.40266448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachwalik (2019)</td>
<td>0.59586072</td>
<td>0.42147139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang (VHD) (2018)</td>
<td>0.54008275</td>
<td>0.37574931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang (NVHD) (2018)</td>
<td>0.48201472</td>
<td>0.30973798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (2019)</td>
<td>0.54138154</td>
<td>0.36099765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opolski (2015)</td>
<td>0.52456343</td>
<td>0.36725033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogo (LA-EAT)(2018)</td>
<td>0.53541028</td>
<td>0.35743467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>0.5356982</td>
<td>0.35743467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EAT, epicardial adipose tissue; VHD, valvular heart disease; NVHD, non-valvular heart disease; LA, left atrium
Applications for clinical and future research

Considering the latest proof, this study emphasized the significant part of EAT in the prediction and potential therapy of AFACS. Given the few previously published studies, future investigations should emphasize: i) well-designed randomized controlled trials with a larger number of participants, or well-designed trials with a synthesitical cognitive test at basic line, modulating for baseline and time-varying heterogeneous factors; ii) clarifying the reason and effect association between AF and AFACS; and iii) reporting studies among different ages, genders, and races.

CONCLUSION

Total EAT volume, LA-EAT volume, and EAT thickness were higher in those with AFACS than non-AFACS. EAT may be a latent indicator and therapeutic target in AFACS. It can be measured either as volume or thickness. Further well-designed prospective research is required to affirm these results to guide the clinical practice.
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